1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 CASE NO. 3:24-cv-05336-DGE BRENDA M. JOHNSON, 11 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND 12 RECOMMENDATION (DKT. NO. v. 9) 13 UNITED STATES VETERANS AFFAIRS et al.. 14 Defendant. 15 16 The Court, having reviewed *de novo* the report and recommendation (Dkt. No. 9) of 17 United States Magistrate Judge Grady J. Leupold and the remaining record, and no objection 18 having been filed, ORDERS: 19 20 ¹ The Court acknowledges Plaintiff filed a motion for interim and final relief within the fourteenday objection period. (Dkt. No. 13.) In the motion, Plaintiff states a legal error occurred but 21 does not indicate in which court filing the legal error occurred or provide any detail as to what the legal error was. (Id. at 3.) The bulk of the motion focuses instead on possible irreparable 22 harm if the matter is not adjudicated quickly. (Id. at 1, 3.) Accordingly, the Court declines to treat the pending motion as an objection. Even had the Court interpreted this motion as an 23 objection, it did not address the deficiencies in the proposed amended complaint as discussed in the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 9). 24

1	1. The Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 9);
2	2. This case is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to state a claim;
3	3. Plaintiff's pending motion for interim and final relief (Dkt. No. 13) is DISMISSED as
4	MOOT; and
5	4. The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, counsel for Defendants
6	and the Honorable Grady J. Leupold.
7	Dated this 15th day of July 2024.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	David G. Estudillo United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	